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#### Abstract

SYNOPSIS Various copolyimides were prepared from two acid dianhydrides (BPDA, 3, 3',4,4'-biphenyl tetracarboxylic dianhydride; PMDA, pyromelitic dianhydride) and two diamines (PPD, pphenylene diamine; ODA, 4,4'-oxydianiline). The thermal and mechanical properties of these polyimides were examined in detail. By appropriately selecting the ratios of the acid dianhydride component and the diamine component, polyimide films having desirable mechanical and thermal characteristics can be obtained. Further, it was proved that there is a correlation between the properties and the compositions of the copolyimides and that the properties could be estimated from the compositions by the use of multiple regression analysis. © 1996 John Wiley \& Sons, Inc.


## INTRODUCTION

Aromatic polyimides have been widely used in the electric and electronic industries for high chemical and heat resistance and superior mechanical and electrical properties. However, in order to achieve a combination of desired properties, such as low thermal expansion coefficient (TEC), high modulus of elasticity, and high glass transition temperature ( $T_{g}$ ), in one polymer system, copolymerizations are utilized, or the incorporation of fluorine in polyimides has been studied. However, the fluorinated aromatic acid dianhydrides and diamines used in these studies are special monomers and are very expensive. ${ }^{1-4} \mathrm{We}$ considered that the copolyimides prepared from two acid anhydrides (BPDA, 3, $3^{\prime}, 4,4^{\prime}$-biphenyl tetracarboxylic dianhydride; PMDA, pyromelitic dianhydride) and two diamines (PPD, p-phenylene diamine; ODA, 4, $4^{\prime}$-oxydianiline) seemed to be most probable. The structures of the two acid dianhydrides and two diamines are shown in Figure 1. The properties of the copolyimides from BPDA/ PMDA-PPD/ODA have not been studied so far. ${ }^{\text {5-9 }}$

[^0]In this work, we report that the desired properties are obtained by combinations of the two acid anhydrides (BPDA and PMDA) and two diamines (PPD and ODA). ${ }^{10}$

## EXPERIMENTAL

## Samples

The polyamic acid used in this study was prepared in $N$-methyl-2-pyrrolidone by polymerizing substantially equimolar amounts of an aromatic tetracarboxylic acid component composed of BPDA and PMDA and an aromatic diamine component composed of PPD and ODA. The obtained aromatic polyamic acid solution was cast with a thickness of about $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ on a copper foil having a thickness of about $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The solution film was dried and solidified by elevating the temperature from 60 to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was finally treated by elevating the temperature to 350 or $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A copper-clad laminate composed of an aromatic polyimide film layer having a thickness of $35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ was obtained. The copper film layer was dissolved away by an etching solution of ferric chloride to obtain an aromatic polyimide film.
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Figure 1 Structure of monomers. (I) BPDA, (II) PMDA, (III) PPD, (IV) ODA.

## Analysis

Tensile properties were obtained according to the ASTM method D882-67 with a Shimadzu Autograph IM-100. The thermomechanical analyses (TMA) were performed with a Rigaku CN 8098A1 Thermomechanical Analyzer. A film of 5 mm in width and 10 mm in length (between chucks) was used as a sample. The sample was placed and heated to $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to get rid of residual stress. After cooling to room temperature, the TEC and the $T_{g}$ were determined by the TMA tensile method. The TEC at a temperature ranging from 100 to $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (or from 100 to $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was measured at a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ under a load of 2 g . $T_{g}$ was measured at a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ under a load of 10 g .

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Copolyimides from Three Component Systems

The properties of the homopolyimides and the copolyimides from three component systems are shown in Table I. The polyimide from BPDA-PPD has the highest modulus, the highest $T_{g}$, and the lowest TEC among the polyimides from BPDA-PPD/ODA. These properties may be attributed to the rigid structure of BPDA and PPD ${ }^{11}$ because of the nonflexible linkage in the backbone structure. On the other hand, ODA has a flexible ether linkage because bending and rotation of the molecular chain are possible. As the fraction of PPD decreases, the modulus and $T_{g}$ decrease (Figs. 2 and 3 ). On the other hand, TEC increases with a decrease of the PPD fraction. Numata et al. ${ }^{11}$ reported the same results on TEC that we obtained. Both the modulus and the TEC change gradually with a decrease of the PPD fraction, but the change of $T_{g}$ is fairly large. The $T_{g}$ of the polyimide from BPDA-PPD is $420^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while that of PPD/ODA (9/1) is $330^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Even if the small proportion of ODA having a flexible linkage is incorporated with the chain structure, the structure is disturbed and the large drop of $T_{g}$ occurs. This result shows that the simple additivity of component contribution would fail with respect to $T_{g}$ and that the effect of component would be sensible for rigidity. Han et al. ${ }^{9}$ studied the blends of the polyamic acid in BPDA-PPD and PMDA-ODA

Table I Properties of Homopolyimides and Copolyimides from Three Component Systems

| Monomers (mol \%) |  |  |  | Elongation at Break (\%) | Modulus of Elasticity (GPa) | $\begin{gathered} \text { TEC } \\ \left(\times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} T_{g} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BPDA | PMDA | PPD | ODA |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 48 | 7.7 | 14 | 420 |
| 100 | 0 | 90 | 10 | 41 | 6.9 | 15 | 330 |
| 100 | 0 | 80 | 20 | 59 | 6.1 | 17 | 320 |
| 100 | 0 | 70 | 30 | 71 | 5.7 | 21 | 310 |
| 100 | 0 | 60 | 40 | 52 | 5.4 | 32 | 300 |
| 100 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 64 | 4.5 | 36 | 290 |
| 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 3.8 | 40 | 285 |
| 80 | 20 | 100 | 0 | 56 | 7.9 | 14 | 335 |
| 80 | 20 | 0 | 100 | 84 | 2.9 | 35 | 292 |
| 70 | 30 | 100 | 0 | 49 | 7.7 | 14 | 357 |
| 70 | 30 | 0 | 100 | 77 | 2.8 | 36 | 294 |
| 60 | 40 | 100 | 0 | 46 | 6.8 | 13 | 384 |
| 50 | 50 | 100 | 0 | 38 | 6.4 | 14 | 369 |
| 50 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 74 | 2.7 | 41 | 305 |
| 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | Brittle |  |  |  |
| 0 | 100 | 50 | 50 | 19 | 3.6 | 33 | 370 |
| 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 86 | 2.8 | 31 | 373 |



Figure $2 T_{g}$ of copolyimides from BPDA-PPD/ODA. Open circle, experimental data; dashed line, estimate.
combinations and found that these blends showed lower $T_{g}$ than those expected from either polyimide alone. They insisted that the $T_{g}$ should be explained in terms of intermolecular characteristics, such as molecular packing, rather than the change in the polymer backbone.

The polyimide film from PMDA-PPD was expected to have the highest modulus and $T_{g}$ among the above systems. However, unfortunately, we obtained only very brittle film. Even the copolyimide from PMDA-PPD/ODA (50/50) has only $19 \%$ elongation. The TEC of this copolyimide is $33 \times 10^{-6}$ $\mathrm{K}^{-1}$. The polyimide from PMDA-ODA has a large TEC. Nagano et al. ${ }^{12}$ studied copolyimides from PMDA-PPD/ODA and obtained the same results that we did with regard to random copolyimides. In addition, they reported that the copolyimides prepared by sequence-controlled copolymerization have larger elongation and lower TEC than the values obtained by random copolymerization. Oishi et al. ${ }^{13}$ and Yamamoto et al. ${ }^{14}$ reported that the modulus and $T_{g}$ increased with PPD content in the copolyimides. Oishi et al. ${ }^{13}$ also pointed out that any clear difference between random and block copolyimides was not observed, but they did not mention elongation.

Copolyimides from BPDA/PMDA-PPD or BPDA/ PMDA-ODA were examined next. The copolyimides prepared from BPDA/PMDA-PPD have large elongation and a high modulus, when the BPDA fraction is larger than $50 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ and the TEC values of the copolyimides are less than $15 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$. On the other hand, the copolyimides from BPDA/ PMDA-ODA have a TEC larger than $30 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$


Figure 3 Modulus of elasticity of copolyimides from BPDA-PPD/ODA. Open circle, experimental data; dashed line, estimate.
(Fig. 4) and larger elongation than that of BPDA/ PMDA-PPD.

## Multiple Regression Analysis of Copolyimides from Homopolyimides and Three Component Systems

We tried to estimate the properties of the copolyimides by multiple regression analysis using the results of the homopolyimides and the copolyimides in three


Figure 4 Thermal expansion coefficient ( $\alpha$ ) of copolyimides from BPDA/PMDA-ODA. Open circle, experimental data; dashed line, estimate.

Table II Properties Estimated by Using Results of Homopolyimides and Copolyimides in Three Component Systems

| Monomers (mol \%) |  |  |  | Elongation at Break (\%) | Modulus of Elasticity (GPa) | $\begin{gathered} \text { TEC } \\ \left(\times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} T_{g} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BPDA | PMDA | PPD | ODA |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 87 | 3.0 | 42 | 262 |
| 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 52 | 7.5 | 14 | 354 |
| 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 71 | 2.5 | 34 | 368 |
| 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 13 | 5.8 | 20 | 381 |

component systems. If a linear relationship exists between the properties and the monomer compositions, then the estimates of the properties could be obtained from monomer compositions by multiple regression analysis. The copolyimides prepared are random copolyimides in this analysis, but we assumed that the properties of the copolyimides could be explained by mole fractions of the homopolyimides. Then, mole fractions of each homopolyimide component can be calculated from the molar ratios of four monomers. In the case of BPDA/PMDA (7/3)PPD/ODA (7/3), the mole fractions of the homopolyimides of BPDA-PPD, BPDA-ODA, PMDA-

PPD, and PMDA-ODA are $0.49,0.21,0.21$, and 0.09 , respectively. These molar fractions of each homopolyimide are used as explanatory variables in multiple regression analysis. The linear multiple regression equations of elongation at break, modulus of elasticity, TEC, and $T_{g}$, respectively, can be obtained.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{y}=\hat{a}_{0}+\hat{b}_{1} S+\hat{b}_{2} T+\hat{b}_{3} U+\hat{b}_{4} V \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\hat{y}$ is the estimate of the criterion variable, such as elongation at break, modulus of elasticity, TEC, and $T_{g} \hat{a}_{0}$ is the estimate of the constant term. $\hat{b}_{1}$, $\hat{b}_{2}, \hat{b}_{3}$, and $\hat{b}_{4}$ are the estimates of the partial regres-

Table III Properties of Copolyimides from BPDA/PMDA-PPD/ODA

| Monomers (mol \%) |  |  |  | Elongation at Break (\%) | Modulus of Elasticity (GPa) | $\begin{gathered} \text { TEC } \\ \left(\times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} T_{g} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BPDA | PMDA | PPD | ODA |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | 10 | 50 | 50 | 46 | 4.2 | 37 | 290 |
| 80 | 20 | 90 | 10 | 40 | 6.5 | 22 | 310 |
| 80 | 20 | 80 | 20 | 60 | 5.7 | 28 | 308 |
| 70 | 30 | 90 | 10 | 35 | 6.6 | 16 | 315 |
| 70 | 30 | 80 | 20 | 48 | 5.8 | 22 | 322 |
| 70 | 30 | 70 | 30 | 62 | 4.9 | 29 | 315 |
| 70 | 30 | 60 | 40 | 52 | 4.8 | 29 | 320 |
| 60 | 40 | 90 | 10 | 30 | 5.6 | 15 | 393 |
| 60 | 40 | 80 | 20 | 46 | 5.6 | 21 | 348 |
| 60 | 40 | 70 | 30 | 52 | 4.6 | 26 | 340 |
| 50 | 50 | 80 | 20 | 40 | 5.7 | 21 | 350 |
| 50 | 50 | 70 | 30 | 60 | 5.0 | 19 | 360 |
| 50 | 50 | 60 | 40 | 60 | 4.4 | 28 | 347 |
| 40 | 60 | 70 | 30 | 44 | 4.8 | 19 | 407 |
| 40 | 60 | 60 | 40 | 44 | 4.7 | 21 | 350 |
| 40 | 60 | 50 | 50 | 59 | 3.8 | 26 | 357 |
| 30 | 70 | 90 | 10 | 20 | 5.0 | 13 | 410 |
| 30 | 70 | 70 | 30 | 58 | 4.6 | 25 | 400 |
| 30 | 70 | 50 | 50 | 42 | 3.6 | 21 | 360 |
| 30 | 70 | 20 | 80 | 68 | 2.9 | 42 | 335 |
| 20 | 80 | 70 | 30 | 26 | 4.7 | 18 | 396 |
| 20 | 80 | 50 | 50 | 40 | 3.8 | 26 | 403 |
| 10 | 90 | 90 | 10 | 10 | 4.7 | 7 | 430 |

Table IV Properties of Homopolyimides Estimated by Using Results of Copolyimides in Four Component Systems

| Monomers (mol \%) |  |  |  | Elongation at Break (\%) | Modulus of Elasticity (GPa) | $\begin{gathered} \text { TEC } \\ \left(\times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} T_{g} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BPDA | PMDA | PPD | ODA |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 68 | 0.7 | 56 | 259 |
| 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 57 | 7.7 | 22 | 281 |
| 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 2.8 | 42 | 350 |
| 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 4.9 | 1 | 477 |

sion coefficient. $S, T, U$, and $V$ are mole fractions of the homopolyimides of BPDA-PPD, BPDA-ODA, PMDA-PPD, and PMDA-ODA, respectively. The coefficient of determination ( $R^{2}$ ) of the obtained multiple regression equations for elongation at break, modulus of elasticity, TEC, and $T_{g}$ are 0.72, $0.94,0.86$, and 0.70 , respectively. The modulus of elasticity shows a good correlation, but the elongation at break and $T_{g}$ show a bad correlation among them. This low coefficient of determination ( $R^{2}$ ) of elongation is thought to occur because the reproducibility of the data is not good. The low $R^{2}$ of $T_{g}$ is thought to be due to the remarkably high $T_{g}$ of the polyimide of BPDA-PPD. The estimates of the properties of the homopolyimides are shown in Table II. With regard to the homopolyimides of BPDAODA, BPDA-PPD, and PMDA-ODA, the estimates are not very different from the experimental data, except for the $T_{g}$ of BPDA-PPD. The estimates of the properties of PMDA-PPD would be different from the expected values, although the properties


Figure 5 Modulus of elasticity of copolyimides from BPDA/PMDA ( $50 / 50$ ) -PPD/ODA. Open circle, experimental data; dashed line, estimate.
of its polyimide film are not known because of its brittleness. Both its modulus and its $T_{g}$ would be more than the estimates, and both its TEC and its elongation at break would be less than the estimates. These estimates are mainly derived from the results of the copolyimides in the three component systems. Because the orientation and crystallinity in the homopolyimide are disordered in the copolyimide, the contribution of the components of the homopolyimide to the properties of the copolyimide is naturally different from that of the homopolyimide itself.

The multiple regression lines of the copolyimides prepared from the three component systems are shown by dashed lines in Figures 2-4. With regard to $T_{g}$ in Figure 2, the multiple regression line is approximately in accordance with the experimental data except for BPDA-PPD. There are the same correlations in Figures 3 and 4. The properties of the copolyimides in the three component systems could be estimated from the compositions by the use of multiple regression analysis.


Figure $6 T_{g}$ of copolyimides from BPDA/PMDA (50/ 50) -PPD/ODA. Open circle, experimental data; dashed line, estimate.


Figure 7 Elongation at break of copolyimides from BPDA/PMDA (50/50)-PPD/ODA. Open circle, experimental data; dashed line, estimate.

## Copolyimides Prepared from Four Component Systems

The properties of the copolyimides prepared from the four component systems are shown in Table III. In order to know the correlation between the properties and the compositions, we again estimated the properties of the copolyimides by multiple regression analysis. In this analysis, the data of the four com-


Figure 8 Thermal expansion coefficient ( $\alpha$ ) of copolyimides from BPDA/PMDA (50/50)-PPD/ODA. Open circle, experimental data; dashed line, the estimate.


Figure 9 Elongation of BPDA/PMDA-PPD/ODA ( $90 / 10$ ). Open circle, experimental data; dashed line, estimate.
ponent systems in Table III were used. The coefficient of determination ( $R^{2}$ ) of the obtained multiple regression equations for elongation at break, modulus of elasticity, TEC, and $T_{g}$ are $0.60,0.93,0.87$, and 0.86 , respectively. These results show a tendency similar to the results obtained only from the data of Table I. The $R^{2}$ of elongation is also low, but that of $T_{g}$ is not low. This is thought to occur because there is no polyimide with extremely high or low $T_{g}$ in the four component systems. The estimates of


Figure 10 Modulus of BPDA/PMDA-PPD/ODA (90/ 10). Open circle, experimental data; dashed line, estimate.


Figure 11 Thermal expansion coefficient ( $\alpha$ ) of BPDA/ PMDA - PPD / ODA (90/10). Open circle, experimental data; dashed line, estimate.
the properties of the homopolyimides are shown in Table IV. There are large differences between the experimental data and the estimates with regard to the homopolyimides of BPDA-ODA and BPDAPPD. These results also show that the contribution of the components of the homopolyimide to the properties of the copolyimides is different from that of the homopolyimide itself. The properties of the homopolyimide from PMDA-PPD would be close to the expected values except for the modulus of elasticity. The contribution of the components of PMDA-PPD to the properties of the copolyimides is large compared with other components with regard to elongation at break, TEC, and $T_{g}$. The correlations between the experimental data and the estimates with regard to the copolyimides prepared from BPDA/PMDA (50/50)-PPD/ODA are shown in Figures 5-8. The estimates are shown by dashed lines. These copolyimides have tendencies similar to those of the BPDA-PPD/ODA system relating to the modulus of elasticity and $T_{g}$. Thus, the modulus of elasticity and $T_{g}$ increase with an increase of the PPD fraction, as shown in Figures 5 and 6. The correlations between the experimental data and the estimates with regard to the copolyimides prepared from BPDA/PMDA-PPD/ODA (90/10) are shown in Figures 9-12. Elongation, the modulus of elasticity, and TEC increase with an increase of the BPDA fraction. Elongation and the modulus of elasticity are thought to be contrary to each other; consequently, it is interesting that both increase
with an increase of the BPDA fraction. $T_{g}$, on the contrary, decreases with an increase of the BPDA fraction.

With regard to the properties of the copolyimides from BPDA/PMDA-PPD/ODA, there are good correlations between the experimental data and the estimates of the multiple regression analysis. These results demonstrate the additivity of the component contribution in copolyimides from BPDA/PMDAPPD/ODA. The orientation, crystallinity, and packing in the homopolyimides, such as BPDA/ PPD, PMDA/ODA and PMDA/PPD, are disordered in the copolyimide. Then, the additivity could apply in the copolyimides and their properties could be estimated by the use of multiple regression analysis.

## CONCLUSIONS

Various copolyimides were prepared from two acid dianhydrides (BPDA and PMDA) and two diamines (PPD and ODA). The thermal and mechanical properties of these polyimides were examined in detail. By appropriately selecting the ratios of the acid dianhydride component and the diamine component, polyimide films having desirable mechanical and thermal characteristics can be obtained. Further, it was proved that there are correlations between the properties and the compositions of the copolyimides and that the properties could be estimated from the compositions by the use of multiple regression analysis.


Figure $12 T_{g}$ of BPDA/PMDA-PPD/ODA (90/10). Open circle, experimental data; dashed line, estimate.
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